



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/565,203

05/22/2006

Johan K. Fremerey

26202.460

9283

28410 7590 06/19/2008
BERENATO, WHITE & STAVISH, LLC
6550 ROCK SPRING DRIVE
SUITE 240
BETHESDA, MD 20817

EXAMINER

MUSLEH, MOHAMAD A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2832

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/19/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/565,203	Applicant(s) FREMEREY, JOHAN K.	
	Examiner MOHAMAD A. MUSLEH	Art Unit 2832	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MOHAMAD A. MUSLEH. (3)_____.

(2) SCHEMEL, SHANNON. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 12 June 2008.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Suwa JP 360-252820A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative argued that the prior art does not teach the segments completely spaced apart from each other. The examiner disagrees and asks the applicant's representative to further view claim 1's language, since claim 1 recites "...said segments spaced apart from one another at said at least one location...", so the limitation does not teach that the segments are completely spaced apart from each other.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/MMusleh/ /EGE/
Examiner, Art Unit 2832

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required